UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,620	05/24/2006	Sint Baron	NL03 1411 US1	5565
	7590 07/16/2007 TRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION		EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			MCDONALD, SHANTESE L	
	370 W. TRIMBLE ROAD MS 91/MG SAN JOSE, CA 95131		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/580,620	BARON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shantese L. McDonald	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication					
Period for Reply	••	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	1 May 2006.				
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the applicati	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 4 and 5 is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		v the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		• • •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	ian priority under 25 U.S.C. S	110(a) (d) as (6)			
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	ight priority under 33 0.3.0. §	119(a)-(u) 01 (1).			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		plication No			
3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the p		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
application from the International Bure					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a I	ist of the certified copies not re	eceived.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)			
P) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/24/06</u> .	6) 🔲 Other:	• •			

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear as to whether you are claiming separate shaving apparatuses, and if you are claiming them in combination with each other. Given the wording of the claims, and the fact that you are claiming such limitations as the housings being identical and the shell structures having different shapes, it is unclear as to whether you are claiming the housings and the shell structures in a single shave, or if you are claiming them in reference to separate shavers being compared to each other.

Claim 14 is worded awkwardly and thus it is very difficult to decipher exactly what is being claimed.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1,8-10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Taniguchi et al.

Taniguchi et al. teaches a shaving apparatus comprising at least one shaving head including a shaving surface, 62, for contacting the skin during shaving and at least one cuter, 61, that is movable behind the shaving surface, a drive structure including a motor, 40, and coupled to the at least one cutter for driving movement of the cutter, (col. 6, lines 29-32), electric power supply means connected to the motor, a housing, 12, containing the motor and at least part of the electric power supply means, a shell structure, 14, detachable from the housing, enveloping at least a portion of the housing behind the at least one shaving head when mounted to the housing, (col. 6, lines 33-54). Taniguchi et al. also teaches that the housing includes at least a first operating member and wherein the shell structure includes at least a second operating member operatively connected with the first operating member wherein the first and second members are mechanically and electrically connected, (col. 8, lines 59-64).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,3,6,7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Taniguchi et al. in view of Muraguchi et al.

Art Unit: 3723

Taniguchi et al. teaches all the limitations of the claims except for a power plug socket at the end of the housing, the shell portion being of a more impact resistant and a sorfter material than the housing, and comprising an optical signaling member and the shell structure including a window through which optical signaling is visible. Maraguchi teaches a power plug, 145, and an optical signaling member, (col. 4, lines 49-53, fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the shaver of Taniguchi with a power plug, in order to enhance the batter power, and a optical signaling member, in order to visualize the remaining battery power. It would have been further obvious to provide the shaver of Taniguchi with the shell portion being of a more impact resistant and a softer material than the housing, as an obvious matter of design choice.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ninomiya was cited to show another example of a shaver.

Art Unit: 3723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shantese L. McDonald whose telephone number is (571) 272-4486. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

S.L.M. July 5, 2007 Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700

and Harles